

CHRISTIAN LUND
98 PARKRIDGE DR APT 205
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94131

Sep 5th 2018

Via ECFS
Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: In the Matter of Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to
47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1**

Dear FCC,

Sonic offers outstanding customer service and solid value. Their plans are fair and ethical and I couldn't say more about them as my only other choice for DSL and phone. They offer a plan at a fixed rate with no contract and have expressed a commitment to net neutrality which are important to me as a consumer.

ATT is the only other service provider for landline and DSL. They have horrible customer service and have in my case, exercised unethical billing practices, which were nearly impossible to resolve without some sort of arbitration. Seems to me they don't want to offer a landline and intentionally separate their DSL and Cell services for liability reasons. Point is ATT, for me, is not properly a choice. I'd hesitate and hold my nose maybe, maybe not.

Comcast is the only other internet provider, which is cable, but those are my choices even in an urban area.

Seems to me, that putting a company like Sonic at a further disadvantage would completely eliminate competition. Some might say that it is already a monopoly with ATT's market share.

Beside ATT and Comcast, Sonic is my only alternative and the only ethical telecom that offers decent value and customer service to me as a consumer.

CHRISTIAN LUND